# Sorption of Chromium Oxy-Anions onto Cationized Ligno-Cellulosic Material

Haïfa Zghida,<sup>1</sup> Mohamed Hassen V Baouab,<sup>2</sup> Robert Gauthier<sup>1</sup>

 <sup>1</sup> Laboratoire des Matériaux Polymères et des Biomatériaux, UMR CNRS Number 5627, Université Claude Bernard Lyon I, 43 Boulevard du 11 Novembre 1918, F-69 622 Villeurbanne Cedex, France
 <sup>2</sup> IPEIM, Avenue de l'Environnement, 5000 Monastir, Tunisia

Received 12 February 2002; accepted 12 June 2002

**ABSTRACT:** Quaternary ammonium groups were grafted onto ligno-cellulosic material (cotton, wood sawdust, and maize-cob flour) to obtain a support able to adsorb oxyanions containing chromium atoms (chromate and dichromate). For both anions in aqueous solutions, adsorption proceeded very quickly and reached a limit value dependent on the rate of ammonium grafting; at saturation, the  $Cr/N^+$  ratio was always close to 1/1 for chromate anions, but two

ammonium sites were needed to link a dichromate anion in a stable structure, resulting in the same  $1/1 \text{ Cr/N}^+$  ratio. Tentatives for modeling the adsorption process were performed with the Langmuir, Freundlich, and Jossen equations. A nearly total desorption occurred only when these saturated supports were treated with solutions of high ionic strength (4*M* potassium chloride). © 2002 Wiley Periodicals, Inc. J Appl Polym Sci 87: 1660–1665, 2003

## INTRODUCTION

Industrial plants are likely to discharge a lot of pollutants in the soil, rivers, and ground waters from their waste waters. Among these pollutants, heavy metals are very toxic, even at low concentrations, due to their possible storage in the food chain. Primary treatment by precipitation in a basic medium allows the elimination of a major part of the aqueous metal load, but legislation generally requires residual concentrations lower than 1 ppm, typically 0.1 mg/L.<sup>1,2</sup> Methods to reach these levels involve ion exchange, adsorption onto activated carbon, membrane filtration, and inverse osmosis, which are efficient but expensive.

Ligno-cellulosic byproducts from the raw biomass or arising from agriculture (e.g., stems, fruit shells) or wood processing (e.g., wood chips, sawdust, flour) are available at large rates and exhibit interesting capacities as pollutant adsorbents. When ligno-cellulose is chemically modified, it may present still higher capacities with a specificity to catch a particular kind of pollutants. For heavy metals, for instance, various supports have been successfully used: dyed cottons or agricultural waste,<sup>3–6</sup> carboxymethyl cellulose,<sup>7,8</sup> and celluloses modified with nitrogen-containing groups.<sup>9–12</sup>

In previous studies, cellulose modified through amidoximation<sup>13,14</sup> has been used for metal-ion com-

plexation [among them, Cr(III)], and cationized cellulose has been used for anionic dye adsorption.<sup>15–17</sup> In this study, particular attention was devoted to chromium, a highly toxic metal, whose pollution sources include surface treatments such as electroplating, wood preservatives, pigment manufacturing, and tanneries. Hexavalent chromium is frequently used as an oxidative reagent or corrosion inhibitor, and many attempts have been made to catch this polluting species from aqueous medium onto mineral supports [e.g., activated carbon, ferric and chromium(III) hydroxides] or vegetal byproducts after eventual treatment : Table I summarizes some literature results with the adsorption capacities of various ligno-cellulosic materials.<sup>18–23</sup> This study concerned the adsorption of anionic forms of Cr(VI), namely, the chromate and dichromate moities, onto different cationized materials and also their desorption from such supports.

## **EXPERIMENTAL**

## Materials

Syrian cotton fibers were furnished by Société Internationale Tunisienne de Textile (SITEX, Tunisia). Wood sawdust and maize-cob flour were furnished by Société Parisienne des Sciures (France). Wood sawdust had a particle size range of 1.6–0.9 mm. It consisted of mainly spruce (85%) and also pine, fir, and poplar. The particle size range for maize-cob flour was 0.53–0.20 mm. Before use, the various materials were dried at 100°C for 24 h and Soxhlet extracted with ethanol.

Potassium chromate and dichromate were purchased from Aldrich (Sigma-Aldrich Chimie Sarl,

*Correspondence to* : R. Gauthier (r-gauthier@univ-lyon1.fr). Contract grant sponsor: Comité Mixte Franco-Tunisien pour la Coopération Universitaire; contract grant number: CMCU project 98F 1104.

Journal of Applied Polymer Science, Vol. 87, 1660–1665 (2003) © 2002 Wiley Periodicals, Inc.

| TABLE I  |    |
|--|----|
| Adsorption of Cr(VI) as $Cr_2O_7^{2-}$ onto Variou | ıs |
| Ligno-Cellulosic Materials                         |    |

| Adsorbent                         | Adsorption<br>capacity<br>(mg/g) | Reference |
|-----------------------------------|----------------------------------|-----------|
| Raw material                      |                                  |           |
| Sawdust                           | 4.4                              | 18        |
| Coconut fibers                    | 29                               | 19        |
| Palm fibers                       | 14                               | 19        |
| Barks (Acacia arabica)            | 12                               | 20        |
| Coniferous leaves                 | 5                                | 21        |
| Modified material                 |                                  |           |
| Carbonized tea leaves             | 43                               | 22        |
| Quaternized cellulose derivatives | 7                                | 23        |
| Cationized cellulose              | 64.5                             | This work |
| Cationized wood sawdust           | 39.5                             | This work |
| Cationized maize-cob flour        | 41.6                             | This work |

Saint-Quentin Fallavier, France) and used at concentrations up to  $35 \times 10^{-3}$  *M* in deionized water. For these concentrated mother liquors, the pH of the deionized water (5.6) was lowered when dissolution of dichromate occurred (new pH = 4.0) and increased when chromate was solubilized, reaching a value of 8.8. This was due to the shift of the chemical equilibrium involving chromate, dichromate, and water. The pollutant solutions were all used at the final pH values obtained at the high concentration or after adequate dilution. 1,5-Diphenyl carbazide was purchased from Acros (Acros Organics France, Noisy-le-Grand, France).

#### **Chemical treatment**

Cationization of ligno-cellulosic material was performed as previously described,<sup>15,16</sup> and the supports were characterized by nitrogen analysis (Table II). Depending on the reaction conditions, four values of the ammonium content ( $C_a$ ) were obtained for cotton (between 0.31 and 1.32 mmol of ammonium sites/g of support), two were obtained for sawdust ( $C_a = 0.50$  and 0.78 mmol/g), and only one was obtained for maize-cob flour ( $C_a = 0.79 \text{ mmol/g}$ ).

## Sorption procedure

Adsorption was realized in batch procedure by simple contact under stirring between the cationized material (0.1 g) and 10 mL of the aqueous solution of chromium derivative (bath ratio = 1/100 g/mL). The experiment temperature appeared to have no appreciable effect on the adsorption capacity for these two anions, contrary to the sorption of large organic molecules such as dves,15,16,24 where an increase of the temperature generally induces a desorptive effect. Therefore, all assays, described later, were conducted at room temperature, that is, in the range  $18 \pm 2^{\circ}$ C. The amount of adsorbed chromium  $(Q_a)$  was deduced by the difference with the residual pollutant in the solution  $(Q_r)$ . Spectrometric determination of chromium in solution was performed after obtention of the dichromate/ diphenylcarbazide colored complex at 540 nm<sup>1</sup> with an Uvikon 941+ spectrometer. Titration of chromate was performed after chromate was transformed into dichromate in acidic medium ( $H_2SO_4$ , pH = 1–1.3).<sup>1</sup> Calibration of the detector response in the concentration range 0.1–1.5 mg/L gave a straight line with a correlation coefficient of 0.999.

Tentatives for the desorption of these anions from the supports were performed with pure water or potassium chloride solutions.

# **RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

# Adsorption of chromate and dichromate

When nontreated cotton was used in the conditions described previously, no adsorption of chromium derivative occurred. On the contrary, Figure 1 shows that the rate of adsorption onto cationized cotton was very fast; an equilibrium was reached within 10 min. Figures 2 and 3 represent the quantities adsorbed ( $Q_a$ )

 TABLE II

 Quantities of Chromate and Dichromate Anions Adsorbed by Cationized Material for Various  $C_a$  Values

|                                   |      |                |      |            | Wood    | sawdust | Maize-cob flour |         |      |
|-----------------------------------|------|----------------|------|------------|---------|---------|-----------------|---------|------|
|                                   |      | Treated cotton |      | Nontreated | Treated |         | Nontreated      | Treated |      |
| Ligno-cellulosic support          |      |                |      |            |         |         |                 |         |      |
| Nitrogen content <sup>a</sup> (%) | 0.44 | 1.06           | 1.54 | 1.86       | 0.10    | 0.70    | 1.10            | 0.15    | 1.11 |
| $C_a (\text{mmol/g})$             | 0.31 | 0.75           | 1.10 | 1.32       | _       | 0.50    | 0.78            | _       | 0.79 |
| Chromium derivative adsorption    |      |                |      |            |         |         |                 |         |      |
| $Q_a$                             |      |                |      |            |         |         |                 |         |      |
| $CrO_4^{2-}$ (mmol/g)             | 0.31 | 0.73           | 1.05 | 1.29       | 0.018   | 0.44    | 0.77            | 0.023   | 0.79 |
| $CrO_4^{2-}$ (mg Cr/g)            | 16.1 | 37.9           | 54.6 | 67         | 0.93    | 22.9    | 40.0            | 1.2     | 41.0 |
| $Q_a$                             |      |                |      |            |         |         |                 |         |      |
| $Cr_2O_7^{2-}$ (mmol/g)           | 0.10 | 0.36           | 0.55 | 0.62       | 0.010   | 0.20    | 0.37            | 0.013   | 0.40 |
| $Cr_2O_7^{2-}$ (mg Cr/g)          | 10.4 | 37.4           | 57.2 | 64.5       | 1.04    | 20.8    | 39.5            | 1.35    | 41.6 |

<sup>a</sup> Determined by microanalysis.



**Figure 1** Adsorption of chromate and dichromate anions from a 6 g/L aqueous solution onto cationized cotton ( $C_a$  = 1.32 mmol/g) versus time.

and remaining in the solution  $(Q_r)$  when the initial quantity  $(Q_i)$  increased for chromate and dichromate anions, respectively. A saturation level was reached when about two times the actual adsorbed quantity was introduced. This behavior was quite different of that observed for interaction between the same cationized material and oleate anion:<sup>25</sup> In this last case, the curves for  $Q_a$  and  $Q_i$  were superimposed, and no oleate remained in the solution unless the saturation of the sorbent was attained. This was attributed to the presence of the hydrophobic tail, which conferred to the oleate anion a surfactant behavior (critical micelle concentration = 0.456 g/L), whereas chromate and dichromate were very soluble in water (629 and 110 g/L, respectively, at 20°C).

This saturation level for chromate and dichromate depended on the  $C_a$  value of the various supports (Table II), but in each case, it could be concluded that chromate adsorption corresponded to one anion per ammonium site, whereas dichromate adsorption required one anion per two ammonium sites. Nevertheless, the Cr/N<sup>+</sup> ratio was always close to 1/1, as was previously observed for manganese when the adsorption of permanganate anion was performed onto cat-



**Figure 3** Proportions of  $Q_{a'}$  and  $Q_r$  versus  $Q_i$  for dichromate anions ( $C_a = 1.32 \text{ mmol/g}$ ).

ionized cellulose.<sup>17</sup> When ammonium sites were more and more spaced by a decrease in the  $C_a$  value, it was expected that a dichromate anion would need only one ammonium site to be adsorbed, as was observed for the chromate anion. Unfortunately, this was not the case; at a low  $C_a$  value (0.31 mmol/g), only 0.10 mmol/g of  $Cr_2O_7^{2-}$  was adsorbed onto cotton, whereas  $Q_a$  remained equal to  $C_a$  for chromate (Table II). It appears that the tetrahedral structure of chromate anion<sup>26</sup> allowed its fixation through an unique negative charge. On the contrary, the dichromate anion, with the same global charge, needed two ammonium sites to be effectively adsorbed. Its structure, where two tetrahedra are sharing one corner,<sup>27</sup> leads to an unstable interaction if not linked by the two negative charges. As a consequence, it is preferable to perform the adsorption of chromium oxy-anions at a high pH value where the chromate form is favored and to avoid a too acidic value where the dichromate form exhibits a high oxidative power toward all organic matter.

Adsorption on nontreated wood sawdust or maizecob flour showed a very low value, which increased



**Figure 2** Proportions of  $Q_a$  and  $Q_r$  versus  $Q_i$  for chromate anions ( $C_a = 1.32 \text{ mmol/g}$ ).



**Figure 4** Adsorption isotherms of chromate and dichromate anions onto cationized cotton ( $C_a = 1.32 \text{ mmol/g}$ ).

1.4



**Figure 5** Langmuir plots for the sorption data of chromate and dichromate anions onto cationized cotton ( $C_a = 1.32 \text{ mmol/g}$ ).

considerably when the supports were cationized (Table II), also leading to a  $Cr/N^+$  ratio of 1/1.

# Adsorption isotherms

Figure 4 represents the adsorption isotherms  $Q_a$  versus  $Q_r$  for chromate and dichromate anions onto cationized cotton ( $C_a = 1.32 \text{ mmol/g}$ ). Both curves corresponded to a Langmuir-shaped L<sub>2</sub> type in the classification system proposed by Giles et al.,<sup>28</sup> as was often observed for the adsorption of molecules bearing ionic sulfonate groups (e.g., dyes) onto cellulose.<sup>29</sup> Thus, three attempts for the modeling of the experimental data were performed.

Analysis through the langmuir isotherm

The Langmuir equation in its linear form is given as

$$\frac{Q_r}{Q_a} = \frac{1}{Q \cdot b} + \frac{Q_r}{Q}$$

where *Q* represents the adsorbate concentration in the solid phase for a complete monolayer coverage or, if not possible, for the limit adsorption capacity (mmol/g) on sites and *b* is the Langmuir constant related to adsorption energy (L/mol). The product  $Qb = K_L$  is the Langmuir equilibrium constant. Plotting the ratio of concentrations in the solution and in the solid versus the solution concentration gave straight lines (e.g., Fig. 5) from which the Langmuir constants for all the studied systems were estimated (Table III).

For  $\text{CrO}_4^{2-}$ , the three values of  $C_a$ ,  $Q_a$  and Q were always very close to each other, whatever the support considered and for all capacities tested. For  $\text{Cr}_2\text{O}_7^{2-}$ , the same observation was made for  $C_a/2$ ,  $Q_a$ , and Q values (except for the lowest  $C_a$  value). All these results confirm a strong ionic interaction between the anionic moities and the cationized support, with a 1/1 ratio for chromate and a 1/2 ratio for dichromate.

This modeling involved  $K_L$  [ $K_L = Qb$  (L/g)].  $K_L$  represented the volume of polluted solution, which could be purified ( $Q_r = 0$ ) by 1 g of support. When only the relatively well-defined cotton support is considered, the data in Table III indicate that  $K_L$  was around two times higher for chromate than for dichromate, with the same restriction as described previously for the low  $C_a$  value.

|                                  |                    |                |                            | Langmuir constants |               |                               | Freundlich<br>constants |      | Jossen constants  |               |       |                         |
|----------------------------------|--------------------|----------------|----------------------------|--------------------|---------------|-------------------------------|-------------------------|------|-------------------|---------------|-------|-------------------------|
| Chromium<br>oxy-anion            | Adsorbent          | $C_a$ (mmol/g) | Q <sub>a</sub><br>(mmol/g) | Q<br>(mmol/g)      | b<br>(L/mmol) | <i>K<sub>L</sub></i><br>(L/g) | Р                       | n    | <i>i</i><br>(L/g) | j<br>(L/mmol) | т     | Correlation coefficient |
| $\overline{\text{CrO}_{4}^{2-}}$ | Cotton             | 1.32           | 1.29                       | 1.36               | 0.66          | 0.90                          | 0.38                    | 1.60 | 0.744             | 0.614         | 0.941 | 0.998                   |
| -                                |                    | 1.10           | 1.05                       | 1.10               | 0.89          | 0.98                          | 0.39                    | 1.69 | 1.137             | 1.278         | 0.899 | 0.998                   |
|                                  |                    | 0.75           | 0.73                       | 0.77               | 1.55          | 1.20                          | 0.36                    | 1.73 | 1.075             | 1.412         | 0.967 | 0.997                   |
|                                  |                    | 0.31           | 0.31                       | 0.33               | 1.48          | 0.49                          | 0.185                   | 2.49 | 0.813             | 3.121         | 0.889 | 0.986                   |
|                                  | Sawdust            | 0.78           | 0.77                       | 0.82               | 1.10          | 0.91                          | 0.33                    | 1.83 | 1.293             | 1.946         | 0.913 | 0.990                   |
|                                  |                    | 0.50           | 0.44                       | 0.46               | 2.13          | 0.98                          | 0.28                    | 2.40 | 1.216             | 2.791         | 0.961 | 0.980                   |
|                                  | Maize-cob<br>flour | 0.79           | 0.77                       | 0.81               | 0.93          | 0.76                          | 0.33                    | 1.43 | 1.257             | 1.767         | 0.934 | 0.991                   |
| $Cr_2O_7^{2-}$                   | Cotton             | 1.32           | 0.62                       | 0.68               | 0.69          | 0.46                          | 0.22                    | 1.93 | 0.411             | 0.676         | 0.938 | 0.993                   |
| 2 /                              |                    | 1.10           | 0.55                       | 0.59               | 0.81          | 0.48                          | 0.19                    | 1.51 | 0.397             | 0.681         | 0.961 | 0.994                   |
|                                  |                    | 0.75           | 0.36                       | 0.36               | 1.47          | 0.53                          | 0.19                    | 1.94 | 0.449             | 1.033         | 1.040 | 0.986                   |
|                                  |                    | 0.31           | 0.10                       | 0.13               | 2.47          | 0.32                          | 0.09                    | 2.80 | 2.730             | 32.67         | 0.781 | 0.988                   |
|                                  | Sawdust            | 0.78           | 0.37                       | 0.39               | 1.51          | 0.59                          | 0.19                    | 1.98 | 0.466             | 1.140         | 0.996 | 0.987                   |
|                                  |                    | 0.50           | 0.20                       | 0.21               | 2.95          | 0.62                          | 0.14                    | 2.42 | 0.730             | 3.727         | 0.938 | 0.979                   |
|                                  | Maize-cob<br>flour | 0.79           | 0.40                       | 0.42               | 1.09          | 0.46                          | 0.18                    | 2.14 | 0.523             | 1.447         | 0.964 | 0.989                   |

TABLE III Langmuir, Freundlich, and Jossen Constants for the Sorption of Chromate and Dichromate Anions onto Cationized Materials

Analysis through the freundlich isotherm

The Freundlich equation

$$Q_a = P(Q_r)^{1/n}$$

where *P* and *n* evaluate the adsorption capacity and the adsorption intensity, respectively, gave generally two straight lines when used in its linear representation, log  $Q_a$  versus log  $Q_r$ . Freundlich constants (Table III) were deduced from the slope and intercept with the axis of the line having the highest slope (Fig. 6).

When the various supports with the same  $C_a$  value (0.75–0.79 mmol/g) were compared, the *P* constants were very similar, and the *n* constants were in a restricted range. This also confirmed the major role of the cationic charge of the support in the adsorption phenomenon, independently of the nature of the support.

Analysis through the jossen isotherm

The modeling with Jossen equation

$$Q_a = \frac{i \cdot Q_r}{1 + j \cdot Q_r^m}$$

represents a combination between the two previous models with the three constants i, j, and m taken into account. These constants, obtained from adsorption data by an iterative procedure, are given in Table III. Figure 7 shows that this last model better fit the experimental data, as compared to Langmuir and Freundlich models, for both chromate and dichromate anions.





**Figure 7** Comparison of theoretical isotherms with experimental data for the adsorption of (a) chromate and (b) dichromate anions onto cationized cellulose ( $C_a = 1.32 \text{ mmol/g}$ ).

## Desorption of oxy-anions

To desorb these anions, washing with water appeared to be ineffective at a low or high bath ratio (Table IV). This result is of interest in the scope of water depollution because it indicates that the salting-out of the adsorbed pollutant will be avoided. The saturated supports had to be immersed in potassium chloride solution with a relatively high concentration (Table IV) to release the chromium oxy-anions. The percentage of desorbed anion increased with the ionic strength of the KCl solution, reaching a 90–95% value with 4*M* KCl. This meant that the quasitotal desorption of chromate anion, for instance, needed a quantity of chloride anion 150 times higher.

#### CONCLUSIONS

**Figure 6** Freundlich plots for the sorption of chromate and dichromate anions onto cationized cotton ( $C_a = 1.32 \text{ mmol/g}$ ).

Cationized ligno-cellulosic materials were able to sorb chromium in the form of the anionic moities  $\text{CrO}_4^{2-}$  or

| Chloride Solutions of various Concentration (Bath Ratio = $1/50 \text{ g/mL}$ ) |                |                |                              |   |                              |  |  |  |  |  |
|---|----------------|----------------|------------------------------|---|------------------------------|--|--|--|--|--|
| Saturated cotton <sup>a</sup>   |                | Washi          | ng with water                | Desorption by KCl solution <sup>b</sup> |                              |  |  |  |  |  |
| Pollutant   | $Q_a$ (mmol/g) | Volume<br>(mL) | Percentage of desorption (%) | Concentration<br>(mol/L)                | Percentage of desorption (%) |  |  |  |  |  |
| $CrO_4^{2-}$  | 1.29           |                |                              | 2                                       | 70                           |  |  |  |  |  |
|   |                | 5              | 0                            | 3                                       | 90                           |  |  |  |  |  |
|   |                | 100            | 18                           | 4                                       | 95                           |  |  |  |  |  |
| $Cr_2O_7^{2-}$  | 0.62           |                |                              | 2                                       | 55                           |  |  |  |  |  |
|   |                | 5              | 0                            | 3                                       | 82                           |  |  |  |  |  |
|   |                | 100            | 19                           | 4                                       | 90                           |  |  |  |  |  |
|   |                |                |                              |   |                              |  |  |  |  |  |

 
 TABLE IV

 Desorption of Chromate and Dichromate Anions by Water Washing or by Potassium Chloride Solutions of Various Concentration (Bath Ratio = 1/50 g/mL)

<sup>a</sup> 1 g of loaded support.

<sup>b</sup> 50 mL of solution.

 $Cr_2O_7^{2-}$ . Adsorption occurred very quickly, and a plateau value was obtained according to the  $C_a$  of the adsorbent with the formation of a 1/1 Cr/N<sup>+</sup> association. The Jossen model was the most adequate to describe these adsorptions. Desorption was possible by exchange with chloride anions used at a high concentration. As shown in Table I, such cationized supports were more efficient than nontreated ligno-cellulosic materials, with adsorption capacities ranging from 40 to 64 mg of chromium/g. In comparison with amidoximated cellulose, which could adsorb Cr(III) cations at a rate equivalent to the grafted sites ( $Q_a = C_a$ ) =  $3.9 \text{ mmol/g or } 200 \text{ mg/g}^{13}$ ), the adsorption of chromium oxy-anions by cationized ligno-cellulose reached only a third of this value; it was not possible to increase the  $C_a$  of cationized ligno-cellulose because it may have lead to a partially soluble material, which is unfavorable in the scope of water depollution.

### References

- Clesceri, L. S.; Greenberg, A. E.; Eaton, A. D. Standard Methods for the Examination of Water and Wastewater, 20th ed.; APHA: Washington, DC, 1998; pp 3–65.
- Gauglhofer, J.; Bianchi, V. In Metals and Their Compounds in the Environment; E. Merian, Ed.; VCH: Weinheim, 1991; p 853.
- 3. Suemitsu, R.; Uenishi, R.; Akashi, I.; Nakano, M. J Appl Polym Sci 1986, 31, 75.
- Shukla, S. R.; Sakhardande, V. D. J Appl Polym Sci 1990, 41, 2655.
- 5. Shukla, S. R.; Sakhardande, V. D. J Appl Polym Sci 1991, 42, 829.
- 6. Shukla, S. R.; Sakhardande, V. D. J Appl Polym Sci 1991, 44, 903.
- Houssni El-Saied, A. H.; Basta, A. H.; Abdel-Hadi, A. K.; Hosny, W. M. Polym Int 1994, 35, 27.

- Hosny, W. M.; Abdel-Hadi, A. K.; Houssni El-Saied, A. H.; Basta, A. H. Polym Int 1995, 37, 93.
- Morita, M.; Higuchi, M.; Sakata, I. J Appl Polym Sci 1987, 30, 1013.
- 10. Nakumara, S.; Sanada, N. Sen'I Gakkaishi 1997, 53, 467.
- 11. Nakumara, S.; Amano, M.; Saegusa, Y.; Sato, T. J Appl Polym Sci 1992, 45, 265.
- 12. Waly, A.; Abdel-Mohdy, F. A.; Aly, A. S.; Hebeish, A. J Appl Polym Sci 1998, 68, 2151.
- Saliba, R.; Gauthier, H.; Gauthier, R.; Petit-Ramel, M. J Appl Polym Sci 2000, 75, 1624.
- 14. Saliba, R.; Gauthier, H.; Gauthier, R.; Petit-Ramel, M. Cell Chem Technol, to appear.
- 15. Baouab, M. H. V; Gauthier, R.; Gauthier, H.; Chabert, B.; Rammah, M. B. J Appl Polym Sci 2000, 77, 171.
- Baouab, M. H. V; Gauthier, R.; Gauthier, H.; Rammah, M. B. J Appl Polym Sci 2001, 82, 31.
- 17. Baouab, M. H. V Ph.D. Thesis, University Lyon 1, France, 1999.
- 18. Zarraa, M. A. Ads Sci Technol 1995, 12, 129.
- 19. Tan, W. T.; Ooi, S. T.; Lee, C. K. Environ Technol 1993, 277.
- 20. Singh, D. K.; Saksena, D. N.; Tiwari, D. P. Ind J Environ Health 1994, 36, 272.
- 21. Cho, N. S.; Aoyama, M.; Seki, K.; Hayashi, N.; Doi, S. J Wood Sci 1999, 45, 266.
- 22. Singh, D. K.; Lal, J. Ind J Environ Health 1992, 34, 108.
- Waly, A.; Abdel-Mohdy, F. A.; Aly, A. S.; Hebeish, A. J Appl Polym Sci 1998, 68, 2151.
- 24. Hashem, A.; El-Shishtawy, R. M. Ads Sci Technol 2001, 19, 197.
- 25. Zghida, H.; Baouab, M. H. V; Gauthier, R. Ads Sci Technol, to appear.
- Wells, A. F. Structural Inorganic Chemistry, 3rd ed.; Oxford University Press: London, 1962; p 442.
- Cotton, F. A.; Wilkinson, G. Advanced Inorganic Chemistry; Interscience: New York, 1966; p 829.
- Giles, C. H.; MacEwan, T. H.; Nakhwa, S. N., Smith, D. J Chem Soc 1960, 3973.
- 29. Giles, C. H.; Hassan, A. S. A. J Soc Dyers Color 1958, 74, 846.